

Insights

The CFPB Fires a Shot Across the Bow of Financial Services Companies Charging Convenience Fees - INDIANA

August 14, 2017

By: Brett J. Ashton

The Consumer Financial Protection Bureau (the "CFPB" or the "Bureau") recently issued Compliance Bulletin 2017-01: Phone Pay Fees (the "Bulletin"),^[1] and an accompanying Press Release,^[2] warning covered persons and service providers that charging convenience fees in exchange for offering pay-by-phone services may violate the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act ("Dodd-Frank" or the "Act") prohibition against Unfair, Deceptive, Abusive Acts or Practices ("UDAAP"), and the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act (the "FDCPA") prohibition against "unfair practices."^[3]

This Client Alert will provide a brief summary of the Bulletin, and recommended steps to ensure Indiana financial institutions remain in compliance.

THE BULLETIN

I. When charging a convenience fee may be an Unfair, Deceptive, or Abusive Act or Practice

The Dodd-Frank Act prohibits unfair, deceptive, or abusive acts or practices in violation of the Act. An act or practice is unfair when (i) it causes or is likely to cause substantial injury to consumers; (ii) the injury is not reasonably avoidable by consumers; and (iii) the injury is not outweighed by countervailing benefits to consumers or to competition. An act or practice is deceptive when (i) the act or practice misleads or is likely to mislead the consumer; (ii) the consumer's interpretation is reasonable under the circumstances; and (iii) the misleading act or practice is material.^[4]

The Bulletin provides a list of practices the CFPB believes may constitute a UDAAP violation, including:

- Failing to disclose the prices of all available phone pay fees when different phone pay options carry materially different fees;
- Misrepresenting the available payments options or that a fee is required to pay by phone; and

- Failing to disclose that a phone pay fee would be added to a consumer's payment and creating the misimpression that there was no service fee.

While the Bureau falls short of labeling these practices per se UDAP violations, they note,

"Whether conduct similar to the conduct described in this Bulletin violates these laws may depend on additional facts and analysis. The Bureau will closely review conduct related to phone pay fees for potential violations of Federal consumer financial laws."

Exactly how the CFPB intends to determine conduct associated with convenience fees is or isn't a UDAP violation may not become apparent to financial services companies for some time.

II. When charging a convenience fee may violate the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act

The Fair Debt Collection Practices Act (the "FDCPA") prohibits a debt collector from using unfair or unconscionable means to collect or attempt to collect any debt. The Bulletin warns that convenience fees may be an "unfair practice" in the context of 15 U.S.C.A. § 1692f (1) which provides, "The collection of any amount (including any interest, fee, charge, or expense incidental to the principal obligation) unless such amount is expressly authorized by the agreement creating the debt or permitted by law."

Fortunately, Indiana permits some financial services providers to charge convenience fees as an "Additional Charge" pursuant to I.C. §§ 24-4.5-2-202(1)(c) and 24-4.5-3-202(1)(e), and under the following conditions:

- The convenience fee is assessed only upon request by the consumer for the expedited payment service;
- The convenience fee may not be established in advance as the expected method for making payments on accounts;
- The maximum convenience fee per occurrence is \$10;
- The borrower retains the option of making a payment by traditional means (in person, mail, etc.);
- No delinquency charge can be assessed on any payment where the convenience fee has been assessed;
- The creditor must comply with all applicable disclosures at the time of the occurrence; and
- The creditor's process will provide an audit trail to allow DFI examiners to confirm compliance with these conditions.^[5]

Notably, the convenience fee is only authorized for providers of consumer credit who are subject to the Indiana Uniform Consumer Credit Code.

The Bulletin provides the following recommendations for FDCPA compliance when charging a convenience fee:

- Review applicable State and Federal laws, including the FDCPA, to confirm whether entities are permitted to charge phone pay fees;
- Review underlying debt agreements to determine whether such fees are authorized by the contract;
- Review internal and service providers' policies and procedures on phone pay fees, including call scripts and employee training materials, and revise policies and procedures to address any concerns identified during the review, as appropriate;
- Review whether information on phone pay fees is shared in account disclosures, loan agreements, periodic statements, payment coupon books, on the company's website, over the phone, or through other mechanisms;
- Incorporate pay-by-phone issues in regular monitoring or audits of calls with consumers;
- Review consumer complaints regarding phone pay fees;
- Perform regular reviews of service providers as to their pertinent practices; and
- Review that the entity has a corrective action program to address any violations identified and to reimburse consumers when appropriate.

RECOMMENDED ACTION ITEMS

If you currently charge an Indiana customer a convenience fee in connection with pay-by-phone, you should carefully review Indiana law and the Bulletin, and implement all recommended compliance practices. ***We recommend clients pay particular attention to the issue of whether the customer has requested the use of an expedited payment service and take steps to ensure that adequate procedures are in place to rebut any allegations that the customer did not request an expedited payment.***

If you conduct business in any other state besides Indiana, you should immediately review the applicable state law, and all loan documents used in those states, for the permissibility of convenience fees.

The vast majority of recent court decisions have found convenience fees in the absence of state statutory authority or agreement between the parties to violate the FDCPA. Financial services companies receiving a financial benefit from a convenience fee are at particular risk for attention from the plaintiff's bar on this issue.

Krieg DeVault's Financial Institutions lawyers are available to assist you comply with the Bulletin, assess potential risk under various state and federal laws, and if need be provide litigation defense for alleged violations of the Dodd-Frank Act or the FDCPA.

[1] http://files.consumerfinance.gov/f/documents/201707_cfpb_compliance-bulletin-phone-pay-fee.pdf

[2] <https://www.consumerfinance.gov/about-us/newsroom/cfpb-warns-companies-against-tricking-consumers-expensive-pay-phone-fees/>

[3] 15 U.S.C.A. § 1692f. A debt collector may not use unfair or unconscionable means to collect or attempt to collect any debt. Without limiting the general application of the foregoing, the following conduct is in violation of this section: (1) The collection of any amount (including any interest, fee, charge, or expense incidental to the principal obligation) unless such amount is expressly authorized by the agreement creating the debt or permitted by law.

[4] Dodd-Frank Act §§ 1031, 1036, 12 U.S.C. 5531, 5536.

[5] See Indiana Department of Financial Institutions approval of Skip-a-Payment and Expedited Payment Fees on Consumer Transactions.

https://www.in.gov/dfi/files/Indiana_Register.pdf